

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Jeffrey E. Richlen 043210-1428-00 1418 10/611,620 07/01/2003 **EXAMINER** 23409 7590 12/22/2004 MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP DEPUMPO, DANIEL G 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 3611

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 W	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	[4]	
	10/611,620	RICHLEN ET AL.	\	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Daniel G. DePumpo	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	: ==	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. reply within the statutory minimum of thirteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ()8 November 2004			
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23 and 33-45</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23, 33-37 and 39-45</u> is/are rej 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>38</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-	: : -	, ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage)	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/611,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

1. Claims 20, 34 and 42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

These claims only recite that the electronic device is an audio device. The examiner notes that the electronic device is not part of the claimed invention. Instead, the electronic device is merely an element of intended use, which is functionally recited in the preamble of the independent claims.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 39-45 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 39, line 3, "the motorcycle" lacks antecedent basis.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United _____ States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

Application/Control Number: 10/611,620 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 17, 18, 20, 21 and 22 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murayama.

Murayama teaches a system having the structure as broadly claimed. As shown in fig. 8, the system includes a first bracket 24, a second bracket 53, a tail 54 and an aperture 241. As shown in fig. 8, the brackets are disengaged by rotation of the second bracket about a line of contact. The cowl 1 is considered to comprise a spacer as broadly claimed.

6. Claims 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 33-37, 39-43 and 45 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willey '965.

Willey teaches a system having the structure as broadly claimed. As shown in figs. 1 and 2, the system includes a first bracket 58/60, a second bracket 88, a spacer 56, and a riser (the part of the fork tubes that are below the spacer 56).

7. Claims 17, 18, 20, 22 and 23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stanberry.

Stanberry teaches a system having the structure as broadly claimed. The system includes a first bracket 2, a second bracket (including rotatable screw 48, fig. 6A), a spacer 40, and a riser 44. The first bracket 2 includes an aperture having a grommet 36, and the second bracket includes a tail portion 22 passing through the aperture.

- 8. Claim 38 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 44 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 11/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the applied references to not teach a mounting system that includes a first bracket that is "connectable" to at least one of the upper and lower portion of a motorcycle riser. The examiner does not agree and notes that the term "connectable" is extremely broad. This term is merely functional, and only requires that the first bracket is capable of being connected to a motorcycle. Clearly, the devices taught by the applied references are capable of being connected to a motorcycle as claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the spacer (i.e. cowl 1 of Murayama) being connected to a riser) are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Although-the-claims-are-interpreted-in-light-of-the-specification-limitations-from-the-specification-

Art Unit: 3611

are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,620

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 6

dgd 12/20/04